## Justification for

			r than Full and Recommendation	•	•	OC)			
1. CONTRACT OR RFP NO.			2. MOD. NO.		3	3. CONTRACT TYPE  Cost  Fixed price		4. CONTRACT FORM  Completion  Level of effort	
5. BRIEF	TITLE OF PR	ROJECT	I		L			I	
6. NAME	OF PROPOS	SED CONTRACTOR (if known)		7. ORIGINAT	ING IC			8. BUILDII	NG/ROOM
									-
				9. PROJECT	OFFICER (name	e)		10. PHONE	E NO.
				11. CONTRAC	CT SPECIALIST	(name)	12. PHON	IE NO.	13. Bldg./Rm.
14. Currer	nt Contract A	ction	15. Project Histo	ry (If applicable	e)				-
a. Propos	sed Performar	nce Period (dates)	a. Total Perform	nance Period to I	Date	b. Tot	al Program	Amount to	Date
b. Estima	ated Funding		Cor			Competition	ward was by: mpetition ner than Full and Open Competition		
Approval in Acquisition Certification presently An award For the reother than By their si	is required prin, and Part II on in the Req available but will be made asons explair full and oper	een prepared under the authority or to negotiating with proposed - Facts and Reasons to Justify Couest for Contract, or by requisiting is anticipated, no award will be only if, during negotiations, it is need in the attachment, the following competition, and do so recompose, the Project Officer and the Council of the authority of the Project Officer and the Council of the Reason of the Project Officer and the Council of the Reason o	source. The following the result of the condition attached to this condition and until such fur shown that the anting officials considered, concur, or appreciate the condition of the condition	ng explanation is open Competition document, indicanding is obtained icipated cost to the open this acquisition prove.	in. tes that funds a d. he Government n appropriate fo	t I - Back re availat will be fa r negotiat	ground informal ground information information ground ground information ground information ground information ground gr	ocquisition, onable.	or if funding is not indicated above, usin
Recommend/ Concur	Approve	Title	Typed N	lame	5	Signature		Date	Bldg./Room
		Project Officer							
		Project Officer's Immediate Supervisor							
		Contracting Officer							
		Chief Contracting Officer							
		IC Director (optional)							
		Director, DAPE, OAMP, OA	Zaiga E. Tums						6100/6C01
		Director, OAMP, OA; HCA	Diane J. Frasie	r					6100/6D01
		Senior Advisor for Policy, Office of Extramural Research, NIH; Competition Advocate for R&D	Anthony Dems	ey, Ph.D.					1/152
		Senior Advisor to the Deputy Director for Intramural Research, NIH; Competition Advocate for Station Support	Philip S. Chen,	Jr., Ph.D.					1/140
		Assistant Secretary for Management and Budget							HHH/ 514G

Director, DAPE, OAMP, OA

Zaiga E. Tums

6100/6C01

## Signature Levels Justification for Other Than Full and Open Competition

Select the cost range applicable and read down the chart to find which officials are to recommend, concur, or approve.

Action	From \$2,501 to \$500,000*	From \$500,001 to \$50,000,000	Above \$50,000,000
RECOMMEND	Project Officer	Project Officer	Project Officer
CONCUR	Project Officer's Immed. Supervisor	Project Officer's Immed. Supervisor	Project Officer's Immed. Supervisor
CONCUR	N/A	Contracting Officer	Contracting Officer
CONCUR	Branch/Section Chief	Branch/Section Chief	Branch/Section Chief
CONCUR	N/A	Chief Contracting Officer	Chief Contracting Officer
CONCUR	N/A	IC Director (Optional)	IC Director (Optional)
CONCUR	N/A	Director, DAPE, OAMP	Director, DAPE, OAMP
CONCUR	N/A	Director, OAMP	Director, OAMP
CONCUR	N/A	R&D Competition Advocate or SS Competition Advocate, as appropriate	R&D Competition Advocate or SS Competition Advocate, as appropriate
APPROVE	Contracting Officer**	R&D Competition Advocate or SS Competition Advocate, as appropriate	Asst. Secy. for Mgmt. & Budget

<sup>\*</sup> The Justification documentation shall conform to guidance in FAR part 13 for acquisitions at or below the simplified acquisition threshold (\$100,000). For acquisitions above the simplified acquisition threshold, the Justification documentation shall conform to guidance in FAR Part 6 and HHSAR 306.303.

 $\sim$  NOTE: An authorized substitute may sign "for" the specified signatory in the event of the latter's absence, if authority has been appropriately delegated in writing.

Following the concurrence, approval, or other action by the R&D Competition Advocate or the SS Competition Advocate, as appropriate, all JOFOCs come back to OAMP, which (1) returns them to the IC or (2) forwards them to the ASMB for review and approval. ASMB actions are sent back through the Director, OAMP, NIH, who returns them to originating ICs.

<sup>\*\*</sup> The contracting officer has the authority to sign JOFOCs up to \$500,000 (FAR 6.304(a)(1)). However, each chief contracting officer may determine to retain some or all of this dollar approval authority at his/her level.